



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/626,931	07/25/2003	Dean A. Klein	54459-277675	5405
<div>25764 7590 07/23/2007</div> <div>FAEGRE & BENSON LLP PATENT DOCKETING 2200 WELLS FARGO CENTER 90 SOUTH SEVENTH STREET MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402-3901</div> <div>EXAMINER MEHTA, PARIKHA SOLANKI</div> <div>ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER</div> <div>3737</div> <div>MAIL DATE DELIVERY MODE</div> <div>07/23/2007 PAPER</div>				

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/626,931	KLEIN ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Parikha S. Mehta	3737	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Parikha S. Mehta. (3)_____.

(2) John L. Crimmins. (4)_____.

Date of Interview: 18 July 2007.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.

If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 1-78.


Identification of prior art discussed: Klein (US Patent No. 6,394,965), McCrory (US PG Pubs. No. 2001/0004395).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Attorney clarified the claimed novelty of the instant invention, Examiner suggested that claim 1 be amended to more definitively recite a multi-modal marker consisting of a single, homogenous solid material.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.


 BRIAN L. CASLER
 SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
 TECHNOLOGY CENTER

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.


 Examiner's signature, if required